SOCIOLINGUISTICS

Assessment specifications

Introduction: This course is compulsory for Semester 3 by 1-2 times a week. There are 4 credits to be gain during this course:

COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Ongoing assessment	Mid-term	Final assessment	Total
Entries 15% Participation and activeness 10% Portfolio 15%	Writing assignment- 20%	Writing assignment- 40%	
40%	20%	40%	100%

*You should gain 70% out of the course requirements (100%) in order to pass and continue your studies in the 4th semester.

Requirements	Description	Points (%)
Entry 1 - 5% Entry 2 - 5% Entry 3 - 5% In-class activeness- 10%	In order to complete this task, you should not just physically participate but be active in the conducted activities on online/offline classes. Detailed information about Entries is given below. See Learner Portfolio. In-class activeness requires you to do the daily homework, attendance and making at least one activity or a test-formatted quiz for each learned topic.	25%
Research-based portfolio	To complete this credit, you will do an individual research on the topics. For further explanation, read below	15%
Midterm	see Midterm requirements below.	20%
Final	see Final assessment requirements below.	40%
		100%

LEARNER PORTFOLIO

It is a collection of entries and tasks completions that you will complete over the semester on students' portfolio. Each entry has a task that is described below.

PARTICIPATION

To complete this task, you should not just physically participate but be active in the conducted activities on face to face/online classes. Participation is obligatory according to the regulation of higher education institutions.

ENTRY 1 - 5%

OPTION 1. Introduction to the Social Study of Language Requirements:

You are required to write a reflective paragraph on your understanding of the social study of language before starting this module.

- + What do you think it entails and why is it important?
- Read the introduction chapter of "An Introduction to Sociolinguistics" by Janet Holmes.
- + Write a brief summary of the main concepts and theories discussed in the chapter and explain their relevance to the social study of language.

Assessment criteria:

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4-3	2-0
Understanding	Thorough	Good	Basic	Limited	No
of Concept	understanding	understanding	understanding;	understanding;	understanding
	of the social	with minor	some key	many key	of the concept.
	study of	gaps.	concepts	concepts	
	language.		missed.	unclear.	
Accuracy in	Accurately	Mostly	Some	Limited	Inaccurate
Summarizing	summarizes all	accurate with	accuracy;	accuracy;	summary; fails
	key concepts	minor errors in	several key	many	to capture key
	from the	summary.	concepts are	important	concepts.
	reading.		missed.	points omitted.	
Clarity of	Explanations	Clear	Basic clarity;	Limited clarity;	Unclear and
Explanations	are very clear	explanations	explanations	explanations	disorganized
	and well-	with minor	need more	are confusing.	explanations.
	organized.	issues in	organization.		
		organization.			

OPTION 2. Sociolinguistic analysis:

You will be given a task with two parts. The part 1 will deal with the analysis of politeness levels. In the part 2 a case study is provided where you need to solve the

problematic situation based on your sociolinguistic knowledge on the strategies and forms of politeness in communication.

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4-3	2-0
Task Response (Cohesion and Coherence)	Ideas are very clear and logically organized.	Mostly clear and organized with minor issues.	Some clarity; organization needs improvement.	Limited clarity; disorganized presentation.	Ideas are unclear and poorly organized.
Recommendation of Strategies and Politeness	Provides specific, relevant strategies and forms of politeness.	Offers good strategies with minor gaps in relevance.	Basic strategies suggested; some relevance issues.	Limited strategies; not well aligned with context.	No relevant strategies or politeness forms provided.

Assessment criteria:

*Responsible teacher will decide one of the options.

ENTRY 2 - 5%

OPTION 1. Language and Identity Requirements:

You are required to reflect on your own language use and its connection to your identity. Write a personal reflection on how language shapes your sense of self and your cultural identity.

- + Read the chapter on "Language and Identity" from the book "Introducing Sociolinguistics" by Rajend Mesthrie et al.
- + Identify three examples from the chapter where language plays a role in shaping individuals' identities. Explain the significance of each example and relate them to your own experiences.

Assessment criteria:

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4-3	2-0
Reflection on Language and Identity	Insightful reflection with deep personal insights.	Good reflection with some personal insights.	Basic reflection; lacks depth in personal insights.	Limited reflection; few personal insights shared.	No reflection on language and identity.
Identification and Explanation of Examples	Accurately identifies and explains all key examples from the	Mostly accurate with minor errors in identification or explanation.	Some accuracy; several key examples missed.	Limited accuracy; many important examples omitted.	Inaccurate or unclear identification of examples.

	reading.				
Relevance of	Personal	Mostly relevant	Some	Limited	No relevant
Personal	experiences	experiences	relevance;	relevance; weak	personal
Experiences	are highly	with minor	connections to	connections to	experiences
	relevant to	gaps.	examples are	examples.	shared.
	examples		unclear.		
	discussed.				

OPTION 2. DEBATE.

You will have a Debate on the topic:

" Who benefits from bilingual education: individuals (society) or a government?" Instructions:

- Do research on your topic thoroughly.
- Make /give statistics on your main discussion part

- State the problem after your statistics and give reasonable suggestions to your group.

You will be divided into 2 teams with 5 members of students (Speaker 1-intro, Speakers 2,3,4 -arguments (main part), Speaker 5- conclusion); each member is required to speak for 3 minutes.

3-2 students should be left to be judges. Each judge is required to speak for 3-4 minutes.

1 will be the maintainer (timekeeper)

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4-3	2-0
Speakers: Main Idea	Clearly presents the main idea.	Presents the main idea, but could be clearer.	Presents a main idea, but it's unclear or underdeveloped.	Main idea is difficult to identify.	No clear main idea presented.
Speakers: Supporting Details	Provides strong supporting details (examples, statistics, etc.).	Provides supporting details, but some lack strength or relevance.	Provides some supporting details, but they are weak or insufficient.	Few supporting details provided.	No supporting details provided.
Judges: Conclusions	Delivers strong, well- reasoned conclusions about the winning team.	Delivers conclusions, but reasoning could be stronger or clearer.	Delivers conclusions, but they are unclear or lack justification.	Conclusions are weak or unclear.	No clear conclusions are presented.
Judges: Supporting Details	Provides strong supporting	Provides supporting details, but	Provides some supporting details, but they	Few supporting details provided.	No supporting details provided.

Assessment Criteria:

	details for their conclusions (examples, statistics, etc.).	some lack strength or relevance.	are weak or insufficient.		
Timekeeper:	Proficiently	Mostly	Maintains some	Struggles to	Fails to control
Accurate	controls the	controls the	control, but with	control the	the debate
Debate	debate,	debate	noticeable timing	debate;	effectively;
Control	ensuring	accurately,	or organizational	frequent timing	significant
	accurate	with minor	issues.	or	timing or
	timekeeping.	timing issues.		organizational	organizational
				problems.	issues.
Timekeeper:	Uses warning	Uses warning	Uses some	Limited use of	No use of
Time	signs	signs, but	warning signs,	warning signs;	warning signs;
Management	effectively to	could be more	but time	poor time	poor time
Skills (2	manage time	effective in	management	management.	management.
points)	and	managing	needs		
	participants.	time and	improvement.		
		participants.			

*Responsible teacher will decide one of the options.

ENTRY 3 - 5%

OPTION 1. Language Variation and Social Factors Requirements:

You should think about situations where you have observed language variation based on social factors such as age, gender, or region. Write a paragraph describing one such situation and explain how language variation was present.

- + Read the chapter on "Language Variation" from the book "Introducing Sociolinguistics" by Rajend Mesthrie et al.
- + Identify three social factors discussed in the chapter that contribute to language variation. Provide examples for each factor and explain how these factors shape language variation in different contexts.

Assessment criteria: :

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4-3	2-0
Description of Real-Life Situation	Clearly describes a relevant real-life situation.	Describes a situation, but lacks some clarity.	Basic description; lacks detail or relevance.	Limited description; situation is unclear.	No relevant situation described.
Identification of Social Factors	Accurately identifies and explains social factors contributing to language variation.	Identifies social factors, but explanations may lack depth.	Basic identification; some factors are unclear.	Limited identification; vague explanations.	Fails to identify social factors.

Supporting		Examples are		Limited	No relevant
Examples			examples	examples;	examples
	examples	may lack	provided, but	weakly	provided.
	supporting	detail.	lacking clarity.	supports	
	explanations.			explanations.	

OPTION 2. Article Discussion

You will be given an article and a discussion question on the relevant topic by your responsible instructor. You are required to read the article on the given topic and answer to the given Discussion question by writing your understanding in the comments part of Google Classroom.

- + You need to post on the DISCUSSION question with your relevant answer.
- + You are required to comment on at least two classmates' posts (your reflection/attitude, agreement or disagreement on your classmate's ideas in her/his post)

Assessment criteria:

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4- 3	2-0
Providing Relevant Answers	Provides insightful and relevant answers.	Answers are mostly relevant with minor gaps.	Basic answers provided; some relevance issues.	Limited relevance in answers; lacks clarity.	No relevant answers provided.
Commenting	Thoughtfully	Comments	Comments on	Comments on	No comments
on	comments on two	on two posts,	two posts but	one or two posts;	on classmates'
Classmates' Posts	classmates' posts, enhancing the	but lacks depth.	are basic and unclear.	minimal engagement.	posts.
	discussion.	1			

*Responsible teacher will decide one of the options.

MID-TERM - 20%

You will need to complete 40 multiple choice questions based on the theoretical part of the module. It is highly recommended to focus on key concepts and terminologies of the subject in advance.

Assessment criteria:

Points: 20% of the total grade By 0,5 points will be given for each correct answer. The points will be equal to the following marks: 21-27=3, 28-33=4, 34-40=5

SELF-STUDY - 15%

Portfolio. Collection of mini researches.

You will be given list of topics by your responsible instructor for your for individual research on the given topics.

- + You will choose one of the topics given by the instructor.
- Do research on it and find 5 relevant sources based on your chosen topic (they can be pdf articles, website articles, book chapters and etc)
- Read your chosen sources in detail. Write your research report on them. The amount of your report should be not less than 300 words.
- Compile the research as one file. (Cover page, 5 copies of relevant sources one by one, the last page your report within 300 words.
- + You have a chance for draft checking. You can ask your instructor to help check the progress or content before submitting.
- + Create the whole file after draft-checking.
- + Plagiarism is strict. Therefore, do the research by yourselves. Do not plagiarize from the sources provide relevant citation for the taken ideas.

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4- 3	2-0
Task	Well-structured	Good structure;	Basic structure;	Poor structure;	No clear
Response	response with	meets word	meets	falls short of	structure;
	appropriate	count with	minimum word	word count.	irrelevant
	length.	minor issues.	count.		content.
Selection	Selects highly	Chooses	Selects some	Limited	No relevant
of	relevant and	relevant	relevant	selection;	sources selected.
Sources	credible	sources with	sources but	sources are often	
	sources.	minor gaps in	lacks depth.	irrelevant.	
		credibility.			
Critical	Thoroughly	Reviews	Basic review;	Limited review;	No critical
Review	reviews sources	sources well,	reflections are	minimal	review or
and	with insightful	but lacks some	unclear or	reflection on	reflection
Reflection	reflections.	depth in reflection.	limited.	sources.	provided.

Assessment criteria:

FINAL ASSESSMENT - 40%

In this task you will focus on sociolinguistic analysis both from theoretical and practical side. As theoretical part you will be given a list of key concepts and you are required to provide their definitions. In practical part you need to reflect on the relevant questions based on your background sociolinguistic knowledge within 300-350 words.

Assessment criteria:

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs	Unsatisfactory
	10-9	8-7	6-5	Improvement 4- 3	2-0
Task Response Cohesion and Coherence	Ideas are very clear and well- organized.	Mostly clear and organized, with minor issues.	Some clarity; organization needs improvement.	Limited clarity; disorganized presentation.	Ideas are unclear and poorly organized.
Logical Analysis and Relevant Arguments	Thoroughly analyzes situations with strong arguments and details.	Good analysis with relevant arguments; minor gaps.	Basic analysis; arguments lack depth or clarity.	Limited analysis; weak arguments provided.	No logical analysis or relevant arguments presented.
Reflection and Personal Experience	Insightful reflection with strong personal examples.	Good reflection; relevant examples but may lack depth.	Basic reflection; examples are unclear or limited.	Limited reflection; few relevant examples shared.	No relevant reflection or personal experiences.

*Note:

For successful completion of the course, you are required to gain a minimum of 70% of the total 100% score.

For getting access to Midterm, you are required to gain a minimum of 25% of the total score.

For getting access to Final assessment, you are required to gain a minimum of 45% of the total score.

WHAT SUCCESSFUL STUDENTS SHOULD EXPECT TO DO IN THIS COURSE:

Responsibilities: Successful students follow both oral and written instructions. The syllabus and assignment sheets are the primary sources of instructions in any university course, so successful students read them carefully and refer to them regularly.

Successful students write down any instructions given orally by the professor; they also are careful to make sure that they have taken accurate notes and ask questions before due dates.

Successful students look up information first so that they ask informed questions, not questions they already have the answers to. If they can't find the answers, they contact the instructor after class or via Telegram or email.

Successful students pay close attention to how they can best and most easily learn the material in a particular subject area. If you have difficulty figuring out how best to learn the material in this class, please make an appointment to see the instructor.

Plagiarism: Successful students always make sure that their work is original. This is important because the instructor must be able to gauge what the student has learned. Therefore, copying the work of another person, whether an essay or answers during a test, is considered plagiarism.

Plagiarism is a form of cheating. Any time a student uses someone else's work and does not give that person credit, it is plagiarism. Anyone who plagiarizes will receive an "F" on the assignment. If this is repeated, the student will fail the course. If you are "suspected" of plagiarism, you will bear the burden of proof. You must be able to present rough drafts or related materials and discuss the topic intelligently. **Technical Requirements:** This course will be completed both face to face and online using the learning management system. To access the program, you will need

- + PC, Smart phones or Laptops
- + reliable broadband Internet connection (DSL or cable recommended);
- + Gmails, web browser (latest version of Chrome or Safari);
- + Cookies must be enabled;

Communicating with your instructor outside of class: availability for responding to the emails, messages is between 6 pm - 10 pm during the week days.

Unavailable on weekends.